

STATEWIDE PREVENTION AND EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAMS

STIGMA AND DISCRIMINATION REDUCTION

Request for Proposals

PROPOSERS' CONFERENCE FOLLOW-UP

Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Response



"A George Hills Company Administered JPA"

March 2, 2011

**Statewide Prevention and Early Intervention Programs
Stigma and Discrimination Reduction
Proposers' Conference Follow-up for Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses**

The CalMHSA Statewide Prevention and Early Intervention Programs Stigma and Discrimination Reduction Proposers' Conference was held on February 22, 2011 and included a total of 50 attendees. There were three ways for proposer attendees to participate during this Conference: in person, by webinar and conference call or by conference call only. Additionally, a number of written questions were received prior to the Proposers' Conference and were read aloud and responded to during the conference. All questions and CalMHSA responses will be posted on the CalMHSA website by March 1, 2011. Following are the Proposer Questions and CalMHSA responses to each question and organized by the following headings: Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related (16 questions), Minimum Experience-Related (4 questions), Cost and Budget-Related (10 questions), Evaluation Design (3 questions), Partnerships and Collaboration-Related (7 questions) and General (13 questions):

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

1.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 16, 10.3, 3rd bullet references appendices but on pages 17-18, 11.0 appendices are not mentioned in the proposal sequence. Please clarify where appendices should be placed and what materials can be included in the appendices. Is it allowable to place an appendix in our proposal? If the answer is yes, can the appendix be used to provide support materials, such as but not limited to <u>individual résumés and organization/partner profiles</u>, which aren't called for in the RFP? Also, if it's permissible to include/use an appendix, can/should the appendix be placed at the end of the proposal?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Page 17-18, Section 11.0 the Proposal Package Content and Sequence will be revised to include "Supplemental Documents, Attachments and Appendices" as the eighth bullet. Staff resumes, charts, tables, MOUs, and other supporting documents can be placed in the Appendices. Bullet number 8 on page 74 will also be revised to include "Appendices".</p>
2.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 17, 11.0 Proposal Package Content and Sequence does not ask for résumés of key proposed staff. Are résumés not</p>

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

allowed or can they be submitted as part of an appendix?

CalMHSA Response:

Yes, staff resumes are allowed; the RFP Part B, Section 11.5.3, Section C Staffing Plan will be edited to state that resumes should be placed in an appendix.

3. **Proposer Question:**

Page 17, second from last bullet, the RFP says we should not “include videotapes, audiotapes or compact disks (with the exception of the compact disk identified in section 9.1 and social marketing DVDs). To be evaluated, samples of social marketing materials should be submitted using links to web-based content **or DVDs.**” Does the disk containing the scanned copy of proposer’s proposal have to be a CD versus a DVD? This citation is the only reference to samples of social marketing materials. Please clarify that samples can be provided either via a Web link or on DVD and please specify, if providing a DVD, where in the proposal the DVD should be placed.

CalMHSA Response:

The copy of Proposer’s proposal must be submitted on a CD in a PDF format as directed in the RFP. Social Marketing materials should be submitted in the “using links to web-based content or DVDs. The DVDs must be in a format readable on most computers. The CD and any DVDs should be placed in an appendix in the Supplemental Documents, Attachments and Appendices section of the Proposal package (see revised RFP page 74) and referenced in the appropriate place in the narrative.

4. **Proposer Question:**

Page 17, 11.0 Proposal Package Content and Sequence references seven sections while page 74 Exhibit 5 Proposal Checklist and Table of Contents references nine sections, including 5. Proposal Package Narrative and 7. Project Narrative. Please clarify what list should be followed.

CalMHSA Response:

Pages 17 and 74 of the RFP will be revised so that both pages reference eight proposal package sections. The revisions will (1) strike Page 74, #7 Project Narrative, and (2) Add “Supplemental Documents, Attachments, and Appendices” to page 17, Section 11.0.

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

5. **Proposer Question:**
Pages 18-21, you outline page limits for various proposal sections, “excluding charts.” Should charts be placed/displayed following the narratives of those various sections or can/should they be included in an appendix as referred to in previous questions?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Proposer should use its best judgment. Since pages are limited, we recommend that charts be placed in an appendix and referenced in the narrative. If the charts, tables, etc. are placed in the narrative section, they will count against page length limit.
6. **Proposer Question:**
Page 20, 11.5.4 Section D – Quality Management and Data Collection it states that the proposer must demonstrate the ability to collect, manage and submit data as directed by CalMHSA. Are you looking for examples of this type of experience (i.e. tracking survey experience, data analysis experience?) Can you please provide further clarification?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Yes, we are looking for this type of experience. Proposer’s response to the RFP should contain proposers’ general plan/description of how it will manage program data to achieve program outcomes and make quality improvements.
7. **Proposer Question:**
Page 23, section 3.3.1 through 3.3.6 describes the weighting of points in percentage terms. Where are the specific criteria that will be used to guide the reviewers or judges in assigning points for each section of the proposal?
- CalMHSA Response:**
The percentages in the RFP refer to the relative weight of each section. They are meant to guide the Proposer by indicating how the Review Panel will score the proposals. Specific criteria beyond those described in the RFP are not available for distribution to Proposers.

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

8. **Proposer Question:**
Page 38, 6.6 Deliverables: "Deliverables include the following (for a complete list, please refer to the Work Plan)". The Work Plan is unclear about additional deliverables for Social Marketing. Could you please identify what these might be and reference the pages in the Work Plan?
- CalMHSA Response:**
This is a general reminder to proposers that they should check the Work Plan to see if there are additional deliverables that may not be included among the example deliverables listed in the RFP.
9. **Proposer Question:**
Page 70, Exhibit 4 states, "CalMHSA will comply with the DMH Guidelines (DMH Information Notice No. 10-06) for PEI Statewide Programs in managing and controlling costs for direct and indirect costs." Can you please provide proposers with a copy of DMH Information Notice No. 10-06?
- Duplicate Question:**
Page 70, RFP section – Exhibit 4, Budget Narrative and Justification, General Information, third paragraph: "CalMHSA will comply with the DMH Guidelines (DMH Information Notice No. 10-06) for PEI Statewide Programs in managing and controlling costs for direct and indirect costs." I have been trying to find (DMH Information Notice No. 10-06) but have not succeeded in doing so. Can you please direct where this can be found?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Follow this Link on the DMH website: <http://www.dmh.ca.gov/DMHDocs/default.asp?view=notices>
10. **Proposer Question:**
Page 74, Exhibit 5 lists 8. Supplemental Documents and Attachments. Please identify what material goes in this section. Is this an appendix?
- CalMHSA Response:**
We will correct page 74, Exhibit 5 to include the word "appendices". Proposer can place staff resumes, charts and tables,

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>organizational structure, CDs, partnership MOUs, etc., here.</p>
11.	<p>Proposer Question: On pg. 14 section 7.1, it states "submit the required Letter of Intent (Exhibit 6)". Is it preferred that we submit this actual document, or can/should one be completed on agency letterhead?</p> <p>Duplicate Question: On pg. 14 section 7.1 the RFP states "submit the required Letter of Intent (Exhibit 6)". Is it preferred that each proposer uses this form, or can/should we use agency letterhead?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The Proposer can submit the document using the form included in the RFP or copy the Letter of Intent onto agency letterhead.</p>
12.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 16, 10.3, Proposal Package Submission format: Please confirm that Executive Summary is not counted as part of the 30 page narrative limit?</p> <p>Duplicate Question: Page 16 – 10.3. It was unclear in the verbal clarification and in writing what, exactly, will NOT be counted in the final page count?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The Executive Summary will not be counted as part of the 30 page proposal Narrative. RFP Page 16, Section 10.3, Bullet 8 provides an answer for what will be counted a part of the Proposal Narrative. The RFP will be revised to clarify this point.</p>
13.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 8, section 4.1, would bonus media or pro-bono media negotiated as part of an advertising program be considered as leveraging the budget?</p>

Proposal Package Content and Sequence-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>CalMHSA Response: Yes.</p>
14.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 8, Leveraging Section 4.1. Use of volunteers is not addressed. Nor is access to resources of a national organization Are these acceptable forms of leveraging?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes, they are examples of leveraging. The leveraging examples provided on page 8, Section 4.1 are not all inclusive and are solely presented as examples.</p>
15.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 20, 11.5.5 Section D – Leveraging Organizational Supports it states that proposer must identify the resources it will contribute to support the program... Can resources include pro bono staff time, reduced hourly staff rates, bonus media and added value community outreach opportunities?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes. The leveraging examples stated in the RFP were solely for illustration purposes and not all inclusive.</p>
16.	<p>Proposer Question: Section 3.0, last paragraph, p.29 refers to "grant award." Similarly, Section 8.7, p. 52 refers to "grant award." Are these awards to be grants or contracts?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The awards are contracts that the successful Proposer(s) will negotiate with CalMHSA (See RFP Part A, Section 6.0 and 7.0, as well as Exhibits 7 and 8). The RFP will be edited to state "contracts".</p>

Minimum Experience-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

17.	<p>Proposer Question: How does a proposer meet the five year experience requirement?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The experience can reside in the organization, its principal consultants or its collaboration partners. The experience requirement may also be met through proposer agreements or subcontracts that provide substantive involvement by consultants and/or other organization(s) that have the minimum five years experience. It is crucial to have knowledge and experience that meets this requirement.</p>
18.	<p>Proposer Question: What kind of organizations are an example of five years of experience?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The Proposer must use its knowledge of and experience working in the mental health field to determine the appropriate organizations with which to partner or subcontract.</p>
19.	<p>Proposer Question: We need to describe 5 years experience with a 2 page qualifications limit. Can we also use an appendix?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes. You can go into more detail in an Appendix if needed with Bios, Resumes, etc.</p>
20.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 20, 11.7 Letters of Support it states that proposer must provide three signed Letters of Support. One of our supporters, a county agency, is not allowed to provide written letters, but is allowed to be contacted and provide verbal support/reference. Would this be allowable?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: No, the proposer is required to submit 3 Letters of Support.</p>

Cost and Budget-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

21.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 20, section 11.6.1 indicates the dollar amount requested cannot exceed the maximum total budget. Will proposers be awarded more points for requested less than the maximum total budget?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: No. CalMHSA Procurement Policy provides that CalMHSA does not have to select the lowest cost proposal.</p>
22.	<p>Proposer Question: For each category, (section 7.7 pages 47-48) it indicates a single award will be granted for a sum in the millions--that would indicate that each award would be for a grantee that could work statewide--not a number of grantees each working locally...did I read that right?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes you read it right. Each award is meant for one grantee with the ability to work statewide. The grantee may have partners working with it to achieve the best SDR outcomes.</p>
23.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 58, section 12.0 indicates proposers must collect, manage and submit data and reports as directed by CalMHSA which has the potential to be a significant amount of work. How are proposers to budget for this in their proposals or is this something that will be negotiated once a contract is awarded?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: This is a requirement that the proposer's plan for SDR include strategic thinking of how it will collect and manage data to determine program outcomes and of the staffing needs to accomplish this. Its budget should include complete staff costs.</p>
24.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 69 RFP section – Exhibit 4 - Is this exhibit available as an Excel spreadsheet that we can use as a starting point?</p>

Cost and Budget-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>Duplicate Question: Is this exhibit identified on page 69, exhibit 4 available as an Excel spreadsheet that we can use as a starting point?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: No, Exhibit 4 is not available as an Excel Spreadsheet. Proposer may prepare this Budget form as an Excel or other Spreadsheet document as long as it follows this structure and answer all the questions.</p>
25.	<p>Proposer Question: Can you address which components are meant for only 1 award for Statewide program versus any availability for local County or regional efforts? In RFP all areas are indicated as only 1 anticipated award, so please clarify.</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The RFP for each Program specifically states where only one award or possible multiple awards will be made.</p>
26.	<p>Proposer Question: Will the Proposers be privileged to the state's SEET budget so that they can gage how much the proposer should allocate out of their program plan to support the SEET?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: No. The SEET budget is not yet developed.</p>
27.	<p>Proposer Question: Can budget include funding for hardware and software for data collection and management?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: In general, yes. However, the accompanying budget narrative must contain a justification and rationale for the expenditure that is also reflected in the overall program design for data collection needs beyond what the Proposer is capable of doing, absent the new expenditure on software and hardware.</p>

Cost and Budget-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

28. **Proposer Question:**
There is the potential for great synergies between SDR and Suicide Prevention - will there be potential follow-up funding to support coordination?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Implementation will include a Statewide Coordinating Workgroup (SCW) across all three initiatives: Suicide Prevention, Stigma and Discrimination Reduction and Student Mental. The SCW will be composed of key representatives of all 14 programs, consultants and subject matter experts. We believe the synergy will be gained from the interactivity across all the programs through the SCW, which is funded for this implementation. There are no specific follow-up plans by policy makers at this time after the conclusion of this implementation.
29. **Proposer Question:**
Exhibit 4, Narrative Form: You stated something functionally similar to Exhibit 4 - is acceptable – are we constrained by only the level of detail herein itemized?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Exhibit 4 is the Budget Narrative and Form. The proposer can provide itemization beyond what is contained in the model Exhibit if, in the judgment of the Proposer, it is needed.
30. **Proposer Question:**
There appears to be a numerical discrepancy between the line item totals for each of the four programs between the RFP and the Work Plan, with the Work Plan total, listed in Appendix 1 being significantly higher. Have the Work Plan Appendix 1 figures been revised, or is there another explanation?
- Duplicate Question:**
Do the RFP awards include the funds assigned at date of submission and prospective members and evaluation, as enumerated in the Work Plan App. 1 (p. A1.1)?

Cost and Budget-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>CalMHSA Response: The dollar amounts shown in the RFP for Programs reflect the approved budget for Programs. The dollar amount in the Work Plan for programs was reduced by an amount sufficient to fund the statewide evaluation which had not been budgeted for originally in the Work Plan. Proposers should use the RFP Program funding amounts in developing their Proposals.</p>
31.	<p>Proposer Question: Should we assume that each subcontractor must complete Exhibit 4 plus narrative that will feed into the prime's Exhibit 4. Correct?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: No, the Prime Contractor must complete and submit Exhibit 4, Budget Form and Justification Narrative. The Prime Contractor should include the costs for the subcontractor services under the consultant or other appropriate budget line items and totals.</p>

Evaluation Design Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

32.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 37, 6.5 Outcomes "The Statewide Evaluation Team will provide consultation to programs regarding the evaluation component, data collection and reporting requirements". Will the Statewide Evaluation provide the necessary funds for data collection or is the budget being proposed under this RFP to include funds for the Statewide Evaluation? If the proposer is to include funds, what is the amount that should be set aside for participation in the Statewide Evaluation?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The statewide evaluation is funded separately and the Proposer will not be required to contribute funds from its budget to the statewide evaluation. However, proposers will be required to work with the statewide evaluation and allocate funds within their budget for quality management of data collection and reporting on its outcomes.</p>
33.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 46 - 7.5.2 - How will improvement of knowledge and attitudes toward people living with symptoms of mental illness be measured? Is there a baseline? If not, should the respondent propose a method for measurement?</p>

Evaluation Design Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

CalMHSA Response:

Proposer should propose a method for securing baseline data. If baseline data exist within the program that they are proposing, the Proposer should identify where they exist and be prepared to use them to track program effectiveness. Where there are no baseline data, identify the steps needed to develop baseline data and measure program performance and outcomes.

34. **Proposer Question:**

Page 46, 7.5.2, your response suggests that a separate evaluation component should be conducted by proposer, even though a statewide evaluation will also be conducted. Is this an accurate understanding?

CalMHSA Response:

Yes.

Partnerships and Collaboration-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

35. **Proposer Question:**

Page 34, Under Social Marketing 6.2.2 - Regarding "Partner with prominent statewide community organizations to take targeted campaign messages to their communities"

1. Do such partnerships need to be secured prior to responding to the RFP?
2. If not, do these partnerships need to be specifically identified in the RFP response or are generalizations sufficient to show compliance?
3. Can you provide examples of which organizations you are referring to?

CalMHSA Response:

1. Yes, if possible the partnerships should be secured prior to responding to the RFP.
2. If not, the proposal should articulate a well thought out plan of how the proposer plans to develop the partnerships.
3. No. The proposer should use its best judgment to identify and partner with the organizations its experience and knowledge leads it to believe will provide it the best opportunity to achieve the Program's objectives.

Partnerships and Collaboration-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

36. **Proposer Question:**
I know we are supposed to coordinate our efforts with the suicide prevention and school age initiatives. Since we don't yet know the direction of those initiatives or which team will be selected, nor will we know the direction they've proposed for their aspect of each initiative, how should we address working in synch with the other initiatives?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Proposers are expected to participate in the Statewide Coordination Workgroup with stake holders, subject matter experts, consumers and consumer families and successful proposers from all the PEI programs; and to incorporate this activity as an integral part of their work plan.
37. **Proposer Question:**
For this grant is it appropriate to partner with a nonprofit based on the East Coast who has a program we wish to incorporate into our California initiatives, provided we use the funding in California?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Yes as long as the funds are used to support SDR in California
38. **Proposer Question:**
Page 33, 6.2.1, 4th bullet:
(Making anti-stigma materials widely available)
How would the consortium make clear that anti-stigma materials are being distributed as part of this PEI Statewide Program – when many materials may be garnered from other services/organizations?
- CalMHSA Response:**
Distribution of anti-stigma and other information and educational materials are features of the overall implementation of Statewide PEI. Collaboration and coordination with existing PEI programs is an expectation of the proposer's program design. Such activities would become part of the contract with the selected proposer. CalMHSA will ensure compliance with such dissemination and coordination requirements through contract monitoring and through the Statewide Coordinating Workgroup.

Partnerships and Collaboration-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

39. **Proposer Question:**
During the recent discussion regarding out of state partners, there was a side comment suggesting that the prime should be California based? Is that correct? Or did I hear that all \$\$\$s must be spent in Cal?? Please clarify.
- CalMHSA Response:**
The funds must be used to reduce mental health stigma and discrimination in California. While it is understood that a proposer's headquarters may be located outside California, there must be sufficient presence and activity within California to perform the contract in California, including active participation in the Statewide Coordination Workgroup and other similar activities that CalMHSA may determine necessary during the course of implementation.
40. **Proposer Question:**
Re: Out of California, is your clarification on out of state entities to be construed to mean that no work could be conducted outside the state? (e.g., social marketing design work done at an office in NY).
- CalMHSA Response:**
That is not correct. The intent of our answer was to state that the Prime Contractor, though headquarter may be outside California, must be sufficient California based presence for successful execution of the contract, and that the impact of the work has to be on California residents.
41. **Proposer Question:**
Can proposers add sub-contractors, partners, etc. after the deadline for submission? It will be very difficult for proposers to find subs etc., and for small organizations with limited manpower to figure out who is proposers by the deadline for this RFP, the Suicide RFP, and the coming Student Mental Health RFP. However some of these small organizations represent large, underserved/unserved communities where suicide, stigma & discrimination (multiple stigma), and student mental health are problems which impact individuals, the ethnic/linguistic community, and the mainstream society.
- CalMHSA Response:**
Once a proposer is selected for contracting, the approved contract budget must not be exceeded. During the course of implementing the program, a contractor may determine it advantageous or necessary to add specialty, expert capabilities

Partnerships and Collaboration-Related Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

which could possibly include subcontracting. Such requested augmented capability would be subject to review and approval by CalMHSA, within budget.

General Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

- | | |
|-----|---|
| 42. | <p>Proposer Question:
Page 43 - 7.3.2 - Can you define what is meant by "commercial" media?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response:
It's general but not exclusive to broadcast media for which you pay to advertise. It includes the broad categories of Television, Radio, Cable, Social Media, etc.</p> |
| 43. | <p>Proposer Question:
Will any incentives or bonus points be given to those responding to more than one proposal? If applying for more than one proposal, will a combined proposed budget demonstrating economy of scales be required?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response:
No bonus points will be provided.</p> |
| 44. | <p>Proposer Question:
For social marketing materials, he said we could submit on DVD. Would it be a computer based DVD-rom or a DVD that plays on a DVD player and television?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response:
The DVD must be one that can be viewed on a computer.</p> |
| 45. | <p>Proposer Question:
If I understand correctly, for Program 1, one could apply for just the social marketing component, and not have to apply or</p> |

General Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>address the other components of that program?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes a Proposer can apply for just one component.</p>
46.	<p>Proposer Question: Any possibility to focus RFP response on specific age group vs. all ages?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The State SDR Strategic Plan, CalMHSA Work Plan and the SDR RFP all contain principles that include programs and strategies which focus activities to achieve statewide impacts across the age spectrum. The Proposer should review these governing documents and use its best judgment in crafting its response.</p>
47.	<p>Proposer Question: We have interest in both the Stigma & Discrimination RFP, and also the Student Mental Health RFP. Can my organization submit proposals to both, or should we choose just one?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: A Proposer can apply for multiple Programs. However, the RFP instructions require that a separate and complete proposal application be submitted for each Program.</p>
48.	<p>Proposer Question: Will the list of entities who submit letters of intent for this RFP be posted on the CalMHSA website?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: Yes.</p>
49.	<p>Proposer Question: Will answers to questions about the stigma reduction RFP procedures or forms also be applicable to procedures or forms in</p>

General Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

	<p>suicide prevention?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: In general, there is procedural consistency and common forms across the RFPs.</p>
50.	<p>Proposer Question: How are the mental health consumers chosen for review panels?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The Review Panel consumer members were recommended by Subject Matter Experts.</p>
51.	<p>Proposer Question: How are the target populations chosen?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: The SDR Strategic Plan, PEI Work Plan and SDR RFP provide general guidance. For the Work Plan, stakeholder suggestions helped craft the populations. The Proposer is also encouraged to use its knowledge of the needs of underserved populations.</p>
52.	<p>Proposer Question: Page 34, 6.2.2, 3rd bullet – wouldn't you get "more points" for naming your partners instead of just telling how you would go about getting partners?</p> <p>CalMHSA Response: That will be determined by the Review Panel. However, vague reference to potential partners would appear to be less compelling than a well developed partnership plan with named partners, defined roles and responsibilities, signed MOUs, etc.</p>
53.	<p>Proposer Question:</p>

General Proposer Questions and CalMHSA Responses

We intend to apply for and submit separate proposals for both the suicide RFP, and the stigma RFP. Will there be any scoring bonus for this?

CalMHSA Response:

No.

54.

Proposer Question:

When will the student mental health RFP be released? Feb 28th correct?

CalMHSA Response:

Yes.